



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

The first volume was translated by Mr. Oscar A. Bierstadt, of the Astor Library; the second, by Miss Putnam, who will complete the work.

J. W. MONCRIEF.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

THE HISTORY OF THE BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER. By REV. LEIGHTON PULLAN, Fellow of St. John Baptist's College, Oxford; Lecturer in Theology in St. John's, Oriel, and Queen's Colleges. London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1900. Pp. xvi + 328. 5s.

THE writer of this volume has attempted a history of the Prayer-Book in its doctrinal aspects. The earlier portion deals with the sources from which the various offices of the English Prayer-Book—but especially the communion service—have been derived. The formation of the existing liturgy is next traced through the Reformation and Restoration periods, and the remainder of the volume is occupied with an analysis of the different services. The ground has become familiar to scholars from its having been traversed by such authors as Wheatley, Blunt, and Blakeney. To those thus acquainted with liturgical history the value of Mr. Pullan's work is greatly impaired by his manifest disposition to conform facts to theories. For example, Archbishop Laud is referred to as "wise in his doctrines," and his policy toward the Scottish church is commended. We are informed that, had Edward VI. lived, "he would probably have reduced the Church of England to a Calvinistic sect." Bishop Gardiner is alluded to as "the leader of the conservatives" (?) under Henry VIII. In recording the somewhat questionable consecration of Dr. Seabury "in the upper room of a house in Aberdeen," his notorious "Concordat" with the Scotch Episcopal church is referred to, but in such a way that the ordinary reader would not suppose that consecration was obtained only at the price of a bargain to introduce into the American Prayer-Book the teaching of a priestly sacrifice in the communion. The consecration of Bishops White and Provoost is mentioned in a footnote, with the singular comment: "They appear to have been bishops of the Georgian type, and *greatly neglected their episcopal duties.*" (The italics are our own.) On the whole, the work is untrustworthy as a guide to any fair and impartial view of liturgical history.

CHICAGO.

CHARLES EDWARD CHENEY.